

the work deduction component of that annuity reduced by the amount of any deduction in the employee annuity required by paragraph (a) of this section. Where both an employee and his or her spouse have received remuneration as described in paragraph (a) of this section, the employee's work deduction component is reduced for his or her

earnings and the spouse's work deduction component is reduced first for his or her earnings and then for the employee's earnings.

(d) *Maximum deduction.* Any deductions imposed by this section for any month shall not exceed 50 percent of the work deduction component.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under Control Numbers 3220-0032 and 3320-0073.)

**Example.** An employee receives wages of \$400 from his or her last person service employer in a given month. The deductions in the employee's and his or her spouse's work deduction components are computed as follows:

Annuity component		LPS deduction	Component after deduction
Employee tier 2 .....	\$1,000	<sup>1</sup> \$191.75	\$808.25
Supplemental annuity .....	43	<sup>2</sup> 8.25	34.75
Spouse tier 2 .....	450	200.00	250.00
Totals .....	\$1,493	\$400.00	\$1,093.090

<sup>1</sup> \$200 × \$1,000/\$1,043 = 191.75.

<sup>2</sup> \$200 × \$43/\$1,043 = 8.25.

#### § 230.24 Exception concerning service to a local lodge or division of a railway labor organization.

In determining whether an annuity is subject to the provisions of this part, the Board shall disregard any remuneration for services rendered after December 31, 1936, to an employer which is a local lodge or division of a railway labor organization if the remuneration for such service is required to be disregarded under the provisions of § 211.2 of this chapter.

Dated: August 7, 1995.

By Authority of the Board.

For the Board.

**Beatrice Ezerski,**

*Secretary to the Board.*

[FR Doc. 95-20078 Filed 8-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7905-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### 34 CFR Part 371

RIN 1820-AB32

#### Vocational Rehabilitation Service Projects for American Indians With Disabilities

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Secretary announces a public meeting to discuss the proposed regulations published in the **Federal Register** for comment on July 27, 1995 (60 FR 38608) and to assist in the development of regulations implementing the Vocational Rehabilitation Service Projects for American Indians with Disabilities program.

The purpose of the meeting is to allow interested parties an opportunity to

review and discuss the proposed regulations, which implement section 130(b)(3) of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (the Act), to provide greater funding continuity for tribal projects that are performing effectively by extending the normal 36-month project period for up to 24 additional months and to provide an opportunity for public comment on the proposed changes to conform the purpose and outcome of the program, consistent with section 100(a)(2) of the Act, as revised by the 1992 Amendments, from placement in suitable employment to placement in gainful employment consistent with individual strengths, resources, priorities, abilities, capabilities, and informed choice.

In addition, the meeting will provide an opportunity for public comment on whether additional changes are needed in existing program regulations in order to clarify requirements, reduce grantee burden, and increase program flexibility and effectiveness.

**DATES:** The public meeting is scheduled to be held from 8:00 a.m. to 10:15 a.m. on August 30, 1995. Written comments must be submitted by September 11, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at The Red Lion Hotel, 300-112th Avenue, Bellevue, Washington. The meeting facilities and proceedings will be accessible to people with disabilities.

Individuals participating in the meeting are requested to provide a written copy of their comments. Individuals who cannot attend the meeting are invited to send in written comments regarding the proposed regulations and on the other changes that may be needed that are identified in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this notice. Written comments

should be addressed to Fredric K. Schroeder, Commissioner, Rehabilitation Services Administration, U. S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 3028, Mary E. Switzer Building, Washington, D.C. 20202-2531. Comments may also be sent through the internet to "American—Indians@ed.gov".

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed regulations, which would implement section 130(b)(3) of the Act, would permit the granting, on a case-by-case basis, of extensions of up to 24 months to tribal projects that meet the requirements to be established in a new § 371.5. The Secretary is interested in comments regarding this proposed new section and whether the standard for determining to grant extension—which considers compliance with program requirements, continuing need for the project, and project effectiveness—is an appropriate standard. In addition, the Secretary is particularly interested in whether other changes are needed in the program, such as changes in the requirements under § 371.21 for complying with certain State Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) Services Program requirements. These requirements include developing individualized written rehabilitation programs for each individual receiving services, providing an opportunity for dissatisfied recipients to file grievances under procedures comparable to the fair hearing procedures required of State VR agencies, establishing minimum standards for providers of services comparable to those used by State VR agencies, and making an effort to provide a broad scope of VR services in a manner and at a level of quality comparable to the services provided by

State VR agencies. Do these application requirements need to be clarified or revised in light of the changes made to the State VR Services Program by the 1992 Amendments to the Act or because these requirements may be burdensome or unfeasible for a tribal program, especially a developing one? In what ways should tribal projects be comparable to VR programs administered by State VR agencies, other than providing comparable rehabilitation services to the extent feasible as required by section 130(b)(1)(B) of the Act? Should Federal regulations establish additional comparability requirements or should tribal applicants be given the flexibility in their funding proposals to describe how their projects would or would not be comparable and the reasons therefor? The Secretary also is particularly interested in whether revisions are needed in the selection criteria for this program in § 371.30 in order to better evaluate applications for funding.

**AVAILABILITY OF COPIES OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS:** The proposed regulations can be accessed through the RSA Bulletin Board System (BBS) by calling the following access number: (202) 205-9694. If you experience any difficulty in accessing the BBS, please contact either John Chapman at (202) 205-9290 or Teresa Darter at (202) 205-8444, co-system operators (sysops), for assistance. For those individuals unable to access the BBS, copies of the proposed regulations are available in regular print, large print, and computer diskette (WordPerfect 5.1 and ASCII formats) by calling (202) 205-9544. A limited number of copies in braille are also available.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Persons desiring to participate in the meeting should contact Richard Corbridge, 915 Second Avenue, Room 2848, Seattle, Washington 98174-1099. Telephone (206) 220-7840. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call (206) 220-7849 for TDD services. Persons seeking additional information regarding the proposed regulations should contact Barbara Sweeney, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 3225, Mary E. Switzer Building, Washington, D.C. 20203-2531. Telephone (202) 205-9544. Individuals who wish additional information and use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday. (Authority: 29 U.S.C. 701)

Dated: August 10, 1995.

**Howard R. Moses,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.*

[FR Doc. 95-20226 Filed 8-15-95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

### **40 CFR Part 52**

[IL132-1-7104; FRL-5278-2]

#### **Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) proposes to approve Illinois' request to grant an exemption for the Chicago ozone nonattainment area from the applicable oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) transportation conformity requirements. On June 20, 1995, Illinois submitted to the USEPA a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision request for an exemption under section 182(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act (Act) from the conformity requirements for NO<sub>x</sub> for the Chicago ozone nonattainment area, which is classified as severe. The request is based on the urban airshed modeling (UAM) conducted for the attainment demonstration for the Lake Michigan Ozone Study (LMOS) modeling domain. The rationale for this proposed approval is set forth below; additional information is available at the address indicated below.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before September 15, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for inspection at the following address: (It is recommended that you telephone Patricia Morris at (312) 353-8656, before visiting the Region 5 office.) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604.

Written comments shall be sent to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patricia Morris, Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR-18J), U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois, 60604. (312) 353-8656.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Background**

Clean Air Act section 176(c)(3)(A)(iii) requires, in order to demonstrate conformity with the applicable SIP, that transportation plans and transportation improvement programs (TIPs) contribute to emissions reductions in ozone and carbon monoxide nonattainment areas during the period before control strategy SIPs are approved by USEPA. This requirement is implemented in 40 CFR 51.436 through 51.440 (and 93.122 through 93.124), which establishes the so-called "build/no-build test." This test requires a demonstration that the "Action" scenario (representing the implementation of the proposed transportation plan/TIP) will result in lower motor vehicle emissions than the "Baseline" scenario (representing the implementation of the current transportation plan/TIP). In addition, the "Action" scenario must result in emissions lower than 1990 levels.

The November 24, 1993, final transportation conformity rule does not require the build/no-build test and less-than-1990 test for NO<sub>x</sub> as an ozone precursor in ozone nonattainment areas where the Administrator determines that additional reductions of NO<sub>x</sub> would not contribute to attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for ozone. Clean Air Act section 176(c)(3)(A)(iii), which is the conformity provision requiring contributions to emission reductions before SIPs with emissions budgets can be approved, specifically references Clean Air Act section 182(b)(1). That section requires submission of State plans that, among other things, provide for specific annual reductions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions "as necessary" to attain the ozone standard by the applicable attainment date. Section 182(b)(1) further states that its requirements do not apply in the case of NO<sub>x</sub> for those ozone nonattainment areas for which USEPA determines that additional reductions of NO<sub>x</sub> would not contribute to ozone attainment.

For ozone nonattainment areas, the process for submitting waiver requests and the criteria used to evaluate them are explained in the December 1993 USEPA document "Guidelines for Determining the Applicability of Nitrogen Oxides Requirements Under Section 182(f)," and the May 27, 1994, and February 8, 1995, memoranda from